End of Life Assistance (Scotland) Bill

Miss Precious Osadolor

Do you agree a person should be able to request end of life assistance from a registered medical practitioner?

No, a medical practitioner should be alleviating suffering by other means and not killing.

Are you satisfied with the requirements for age and connection with Scotland as set out in the Bill?

No, age nor connection with Scotland should not justify legalising killing another human being.

Are you satisfied with the two categories of people who would qualify to be assisted under the terms of the Bill?

No, the criteria are ambiguous and the safeguards are inadequate.

The Bill outlines a several stage consent and verification process that would be required to be followed for an eligible person to receive end of life assistance. Are you satisfied with this process?

No, no process or safeguards could ever be sufficient to avoid abuses or unintended outcomes.

Do you consider the level and nature of safeguards as set out in the Bill to be appropriate?

No, no legislation, no matter how detailed, can possibly safeguard vulnerable members of society.

Do you have any other considerations on the Bill not included in answers to the above questions?

The bill is intended to enable a person to die with dignity and a minimum of distress. This is already provided through good health care and in particular in palliative medicine.

If this is accepted, some people’s life may no longer have meaning.

It is simply unethical, unnecessary, dangerous and impossible to regulate safely no matter what safe guards are put in place.

So please, do not support this bill.
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