Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: LPLR Bill

Having been classified a thief for stealing three second hand books I find it shocking that a lawyer who steals thousands of pounds from me gets off with his crime by stating he made a mistake. Naturally it was a deliberate mistake but he got away with his excuse KNOWING OF THIS EXCUSE. KNOWING THE LAW SOCIETY WOULD BACK HIM THIS LOOPHOLE NEEDS CLOSING. In fact as the reason for existence is to protect the members of the legal profession how can they also be protectors of their members client? A criminal act was committed and made into a civil mistake. Absolute non-sense.

If getting to the truth of matters is actually the
2

Criteria... My own experience has taught me to mistrust in general members of the legal profession as there would seem to me to be self-serving interests rather than client confidentiality.

Having read and reread Michael Joseph's "Lawyers Can Seriously Damage Your Health" I am coming to grips with the "hows" and "why's" of Authority's "Crumbling". Where Truth and Sincerity are not sought and respected, as also each member of society, then society is in decline. The "Immaculate Truth" is what society should be seeking. Over the years insincerity has 'spotted' actual Truth. How? Using half truths and deliberate lies.

What is required is a separate body to make judgements between clients and folk of the legal profession, NOT "their union".

The Legal Aid should also be withdrawn. My experience being that many who have legal aid clients are pleased to accept the payment for it, while giving little in return for the aid money. Granted, my experiences stem from
in mankind’s ‘schoolroom’, planet Earth. But when I return to the dimension from which I came, THERE only the Truth, only what my earlier “wedding garment” has earned, is of consequence, like attracting like. THAT is what should be being taught in our schools. The liar and his like will be found in the lower dimensions, those souls of Light in Enlightenment’s Dimensions. It is wise to remember to do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

At one time the Democratic Party stated that if it came “to power” its aim would be to give every citizen a minimum amount on which to live and there would be no penalties for becoming comfortable with added earnings or savings. There would then be incentive to progress oneself. Much that is wrong today stems from lack of incentive. This same thinking should lie with the Legal Aid Board.
Unprofessional conduct should be seriously dealt with, which is not presently the case. Reporting to the Law Society, my complaint was sent on to the law firm, the law firm replying with a letter of lies WHICH WERE ACCEPTED. My statement that all given information WAS LIES was not believed. The head of the law firm was eventually found to be a criminal by our own courts but this has in no way altered the Law Society's protection of its members. The lawyer actually committed suicide on 4.6.88 to avoid probable jail sentence.

I note the Lay Observer was changed to an ombudsman, a post now becoming defunct.

As the lady had no clout against wrong doers, only able to advise correction... why is it that lawyers are believed, while party litigants, simple ordinary folk, often seeking out a lay person to represent them as legal aid is denied, are considered third rate - require permission of authority to go ahead. Surely they should be given the same rights as a solicitor treated similarly and believed at least as well as the lawyers.